

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Various claims are being amended as shown above.

No new matter is being added by virtue of the claim amendments.

Support for the claim amendments is found, for example, in page 29, lines 1-18, of the specification.

Diem discloses a multi-media paging receiver 114 in Figure 13. Signal is received at receiving antenna 112 from transmitting antenna 110 (Figure 1). The multi-media paging receiver 114 includes a controller 1208 that determines if the received signal is intended for the multi-media paging receiver 114. The multi-media paging receiver 114 also includes a separate multi-media presentation device 1226 that provides audio, visual, or mechanical signal, in order to indicate reception of the message in the received signal. Diem discloses a controller 1208 connected to the multi-media presentation device 1226 that always provides the user with audio, visual, or mechanical signal indicating reception of messages via the controller 1208. While the separate multi-media presentation device 1226 has a speaker 1214 that generates audio and a text/graphic display 1220 that provides a display output, the controller 1208 does not provide any output stages that generates audio output or display output. The controller 1208 merely includes an I/O circuit 1240 that always generate digital signals for processing by the speaker 1214 via DAC 1210 in the separate device 1226 or for processing by the text/graphic display 1220 in the separate device 1226. The controller 1208 does not have its own speaker for generating audio sounds or

text/graphic display (screen) for generating the text/graphic display output.

Furthermore, Diem discloses that all audio output or text/graphic output are always generated by the multi-media presentation device 1226. Therefore, Diem does not disclose a device that determines if content is to be generated by the controller 1208 or by the multi-media presentation device 1226, since the multi-media presentation device 1226 always generates or outputs the content.

Accordingly, the pending claims recite features that are distinguishable from Diem.

For the above reasons, Applicants respectfully request allowance of all pending claims.

If the undersigned attorney has overlooked a teaching in any of the cited references that is relevant to the allowability of the claims, the Examiner is respectfully requested to specifically point out where such teachings may be found.

CONTACT INFORMATION

If the Examiner has any questions or needs any additional information, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney at (805) 681-5078.

Date: May 6, 2005

Respectfully submitted,  
Yancy T. Chen, et al.

By: Arnold M. de Guzman  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 39,955  
805.681.5078  
805.681.5076 (FAX)

Please send correspondence to:

**IP Administration  
Legal Department, M/S 35  
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
P.O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400**

<b>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING</b>			
<p>I hereby certify that this correspondence, including the enclosures identified herein, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date shown below. If the Express Mail Mailing Number is filled in below, then this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.10.</p>			
Signature:			
Typed or Printed Name:	Arnold M. de Guzman, Reg. No. 39,955	Dated:	May 6, 2005
Express Mail Mailing Number (optional):	EV 323269451 US		